



Jenny Folsom

Antwerpse handjes are a popular delicacy that debuted at the Antwerp International Pastry Exhibition in 1971. Today, chocolatiers who wish to produce and sell the chocolate hands must follow the strict guidelines of the Syndicale Unie. The recipe and molds are standardized to ensure consistency in production throughout Flanders.

Congo—officially a state-sponsored colony until its independence in 1960.

Central Africa has been plagued by

stereotypes depicting Africans as inherently violent and uncivilized, but it is clear that the fascination with severed—and

severing—hands was imported from Antwerp. All of the memorials dedicated to Brabo and the severed hand bypass the connection between Antwerp and the Congo Free State, while celebrating Flemish nationalism. To connect these historical monuments to colonialism, instead, would, of course, render the chocolate hands immediately grotesque. Who could consume the treat as she imagined the severed hands of Congolese slave laborers? It seems the Congolese were right all along: the Belgians did (and do) harbor an insatiable appetite for African hands.

Jenny Folsom is in the sociology program at UMass-Amherst. She studies culture, memory, and colonialism.

weiners galore

by joshua gamson

"Shit, this is the worst," Anthony Weiner declares to himself at the beginning of the eponymous documentary as he waits for someone to answer his phone call. "Doing a documentary on my scandal." It's a rich and telling introduction. Here is former New York Congressman Weiner, the willing star of "Weiner," whose career lived and died by the media, complaining on camera about being on camera. It appears both an honest complaint and a disingenuous one. Even more than the remarkably up-close account of political ambition and strategy in the face of public shaming and private turmoil, that ambivalent relationship to publicity is perhaps the film's most interesting theme. In "Weiner," which follows its scandal-laden protagonist as he campaigns for Mayor of New York, we watch Weiner engage not just in a fight for his political life, for which he is apparently willing to

take flogging after flogging, but also in a fight over his story and his image. For that, the camera is both enemy and ally.

At its core, "Weiner" is a rare, behind-the-scenes look at the relationship between politicking and media. The film tracks Weiner, his now-estranged wife Huma Abedin, and his campaign team as they attempt to steer the

wind of support only to run aground on a second sexting scandal (more penis pictures, now with salacious texts and phone calls). The filmmakers do not dwell much on the details of Weiner's techno-sexual activity, which, as *The Nation's* JoAnn Wypijewski pointed out at the time, involved "no contact, no fluids, no baby, no payment, no strings." Weiner

At its core, "Weiner" is a rare, behind-the-scenes look at the relationship between politicking and media.

Weiner ship, badly damaged by a sexting scandal that forced his resignation from Congress (having sent a picture of his underwear-clad erection to woman via Twitter) toward redemption and the Mayor's office. They catch a powerful

says he had a "blind spot," it was like playing video games, and maybe politicians tend to like attention, but we never learn what actual desires and pleasures were involved. For "Weiner," the scandalizing sexual behavior is beside the point;

the film is interested instead in how such scandals affect political campaigning. The answer comes in an excruciatingly dreary and often gripping story, over terrain already made familiar by decades of sex scandals, politician-entertainers from Reagan to Trump, and shows like *Scandal* and *The Good Wife*.

Nobody escapes with much dignity. Weiner is self-deprecating and self-aggrandizing, sometimes at the same time, desperately tenacious, easy to anger, prone to petulance and preening. Abedin, a close Hillary Clinton aide, veers between public protection of her husband's image and private resentment of the "nightmare" she's in, at once wounded and coolly calculating. A publicity-seeking young woman named Sydney Leathers, whose story breaks the second scandal, quickly signs with a porn company to make a video called "Weiner and Me." Reporters fight each other for little pieces of the scandal, ignoring Weiner's pleas for questions about bike lanes, housing, and stop and frisk. (They ignore, too, the irritation of people like the Bronx woman who, in one of the most refreshing moments of the film, interrupts a crowd of reporters peppering Weiner with scandal-related questions, screaming, "Who cares about this issue? We want to know what he's going to do for us. We're from the Bronx, we don't care about this personal garbage.") On his HBO show, Bill Maher reads Weiner's sext exchange verbatim with Jane Lynch as the audience guffaws; on his MSNBC show, Lawrence O'Donnell asks, from a horse of dizzying height, "What's wrong with you? And I mean it from a psychiatric level."

The publicity maneuverings sometimes yield slapstick. Toward the end of the movie, for instance, after Weiner sinks from the top of the mayoral polls to the bottom, he finds out that Leathers, trolling him at the behest of shock-radio host Howard Stern, has crashed the campaign's party, at which Weiner is due to give his concession speech. Abedin refuses to "face the indignity of being accosted by that woman," but then agrees to go anyway, and sits in the



Showtime Documentary Films

car with her eyes closed until Weiner, seeing that he will have to dodge Leathers, sends her home. Weiner jumps from the town car and dashes through a McDonald's toward the venue's back door, with Leathers running after him, fast, in a tight dress and heels, pushing people aside and calling, "Are you serious? Really?" It's basically a parade of assholes.

They aren't only that, though. While Leathers and the reporters remain caricatures, Weiner, Abedin, and the campaign staff do not. Weiner is flawed but trying not to be, fighting himself on his "virtually unlimited ability to fuck up

things." Abedin is wronged but trying to allow herself to be righted. Both of them saw the campaign as Weiner's way to "clean up the mess" he'd made of his career and their lives, and they cannot quite fathom that Weiner has made a bigger mess. The film offers a view of the impact of a media scandal at the interpersonal level, too, not just by showing us Weiner's strained, tense marital relationship—throughout much of the film, Abedin's arms are crossed or she's shaking her head; Weiner is full of guilt even as he asks her to act like "a regular candidate's wife"—but also the pain and

anger of staffers.

In the end, “Weiner” is not mainly a tale about an individual with an Achilles heel, nor is about the costs of blind ambition or even scandal. It’s an inside view of the normal operation of politics in an era when entertainment has long since blended with what might nostalgically be called news, when the lines between public and what might nostalgically be called private life are seemingly gone. Indeed, “Weiner” follows the path typically followed by scandal discourse, in which the scandal reveals not so much a breach of sexual norms as the pathologies generated by routine institutional behaviors. At the time of Weiner’s scandals, in fact, reporters and commentators—especially those outside of the tabloid press—covered them through the lens of political gamesmanship, not just individual stupidity or immorality. A high-profile *New York Times Magazine* article, the centerpiece of Weiner’s reemergence in the “Weiner” period, detailed his “Post-Scandal Playbook.” When the second scandal broke, *The New York Times* reported that it undermined “the narrative Mr. Weiner has offered throughout his campaign, in which he has repeatedly suggested he has spent his time since leaving Congress rehabilitating himself and repairing his family relationships,” while a *Washington Post* writer wrote that it “damaged the remorse-and-redemption theme that fueled Weiner’s mayoral bid.” Politics: it’s all narratives and themes and playbooks.

The “Weiner” documentary, too, presents a world in which politicians are, by necessity, strategic performers. We watch Weiner practice the line, “For that, I am profoundly sorry,” seeking perhaps the most sincere-sounding expression. When his communications director, Barbara Morgan, reads him reporters’ questions on a car ride, we see him searching not for the true answers, but for the answers he has given in the past. After his sudden drop in the polls, we watch him step into a “New Yorkers never quit” persona that he and his advisors have designed for the occasion. Such tactical behavior comes as

no surprise, and that is the point: We are watching politics as usual, the politics in which reality television is a credential for the Presidency, brought into sharp relief by the crisis of scandal.

Weiner himself claims to see it this way. Reflecting midway through the film, he moves quickly from *mea culpa*—“I did the thing”—to political realism. “I understand politics and understand the rhythm of the press,” he says, “so it’s not their fault that they played their role. It’s the frog and the scorpion.” Later, in a discussion of the documentary itself, he says he has no regrets. “I wanted to be viewed as just the full person that I was,” he says. It’s hard to doubt that, but given

The political drama that Weiner set in motion again and again turned out to have a life of its own. It did not require Weiner’s participation to continue apace—our president-elect may be testament to that fact.

that we’ve just witnessed Weiner’s failing battle to control his own story, it’s also hard not to. “Weiner” encourages us to see it as another performance, another role, another bid for narrative control. Sometimes, the frog just has to take another scorpion on its back and hope not to get stung. It’s the worst.

Just a few months after the release of “Weiner,” we got a one-two punch post-script that offers cause for both hope and despair. On the one hand, Weiner, back in the spotlight brought by the film and by Abedin’s role as a Hillary Clinton aide, was caught in yet another series of sexually explicit Twitter conversations with yet another woman he hadn’t met. But this time, he and Abedin acted in ways that appear to have less to do with controlling the media narrative and more to do with something resembling humanity. Abedin announced their separation, and Weiner tried a new approach: He stopped talking and walked away. No solemn press

conference, no defiant interviews, no tweets, no scorpion. Maybe, as some “sources with ties to Hillary Clinton’s campaign” reportedly worried to *The New York Post*, Weiner was just taking a breath, and would soon be shopping a proposal for a tell-all book, but at least for the moment, the cynical view of politicians as performers in a media-driven circus—a view so prevalent that Donald Trump, whose persona appears to be a haphazard compilation of who he thinks a given audience wants him to be at the given moment, and whose Tweeting is arguably crazier than Weiner’s, made sense—was undercut.

Then, of course, just as he was him-

self retreating from view, F.B.I. director James Comey put Weiner back in the spotlight with his October announcement that the F.B.I. was looking into e-mails that might shed further light on Hillary Clinton’s alleged misconduct, discovered in a separate investigation into, it turns out, Anthony Weiner’s alleged sexual messages to an underage woman. The political drama that Weiner set in motion again and again, but from which he finally seemed to be running, turned out to have a life of its own, and political consequences that reached far beyond Weiner’s own career. It did not require Weiner’s participation to continue apace—our president-elect may be testament to that fact.

Joshua Gamson is in the sociology department at the University of San Francisco. He is the author most recently of *Modern Families: Stories of Extraordinary Journeys to Kinship*.